

REMARKS

New claims 66-67 are added. Support for the new claims is provided by the originally-filed application at, for example, Fig. 2.

The title is amended as requested by the Examiner.

Claims 4, 7 and 60 are rejected as being anticipated by Huang (US 6,218,731). Claims 2, 3, 7, 8, 57-59, 61 and 65 are rejected as being anticipated by Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA). Claims 62-64 are rejected as being obvious over the combination of Huang and Yee (US 2003/0230799).

Independent claim 7 is amended to recite “an interposer construction comprising a single dielectric support member... the entire structure of the single dielectric support member is a **single layer of dielectric material**.” Support for the amendment language is provided by exemplary embodiments disclosed by the originally-filed application at, for example, pages 11-12 and Figs. 2-20. Regarding the rejection based on Huang, Huang teaches a support member that “comprises three insulation layers 164, 168, 172.” (Huang at col. 5, lines 25-26 and Fig. 5). That is, the entire Huang structure is at least **three** insulation layers. Logically, this teaching of Huang does not disclose “the entire structure of the single dielectric support member is a **single layer of dielectric material**” as positively recited by independent claim 7. Accordingly, independent claim 7 is allowable over Huang.

Furthermore, regarding the rejection based on AAPA, the Examiner correctly states the AAPA teaches a support member having **two** different layers 15 and 20 attached to each other (pg. 8 of paper no. 20080104). Logically, this teaching of AAPA does not disclose “the entire structure of the single dielectric support member is a **single layer of**


dielectric material" as positively recited by independent claim 7. Accordingly, independent claim 7 is allowable over AAPA. No other rejections are presented against independent claim 7, and therefore, independent claim 7 is allowable.

Claims 2-4, 8 and 57-67 depend from allowable independent claim 7, and therefore, the dependent claims are allowable.

This application is now believed to be in immediate condition for allowance, and action to that end is respectfully requested. If the Examiner's next anticipated action is to be anything other than a Notice of Allowance, the undersigned respectfully requests a telephone interview prior to issuance of any such subsequent action.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: 4-7-08

By: 
D. Brent Kenady
Reg. No.: 40,045